Appl. No. 09/606,435
Amdt. dated December 9, 2004
Reply to Office Action dated Aug. 9, 2004

Appl. No.	:	09/606,435
Applicant	:	Curtis L. Finch, II
Filed	:	June 29, 2000
Title	:	Method and Apparatus for a Web Based Punch Clock/Time Clock
TC/A.U.	:	3627
Examiner	:	Rudy, Andrew J.
Docket No.	:	JOUR 004

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO OFFICIAL ACTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Official Action mailed on August 9, 2004, the period for response thereto now set to expire on December 09, 2004, a response was filed on December 9, 2004 and reconsideration of the action and allowance of the present application were respectfully requested. Through mistake, the Applicant inadvertently and unintentionally failed to address an issue raised in the Official Action and hereby supplements the response by making remarks with respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112.

The Applicant requests entry of this supplemental response along with the prior filed response and further requests that the prior response be considered a bona fide attempt to respond. If, however, any additional fees are required for an extension of time, the undersigned expressly authorizes the Commissioner for Patents to use the listed deposit account for such fees. The Applicant further notes that, if additional fees are required, only a second month's extension should be required as the first month has already been paid for and this supplemental response is being filed on January 10 (a Monday) within a two month extension deadline.

Amendments to the Specification – N/A.

Amendments to the Drawings – N/A.

Amendments to the Claims – N/A.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 2 of this paper.